

TOPIC 4 - MONTE CARLO INTEGRATION : 1D IMPORTANCE SAMPLING AND
SAMPLE MEAN METHODS

This worksheet accompanies the EJS simulation MCIntegration_No2_1DImpSampAndSampleMean.jar

The challenge: Our task is to evaluate the following integral¹:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

As introduced in a previous worksheet, the sample mean approximation estimates the integral as:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{(b-a)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i),$$

where the x_i are sampled uniformly from the interval $[a, b]$. The result is exact in cases where the integrand is a constant, but generally there is an uncertainty/error determined by the variance in the integrand, the size of the integration interval and the number of trials.

The importance sampling technique involves identifying an easily-sampled probability distribution function $p(x)$ that matches $f(x)$ in its main features. This approach estimates the integral as:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b p(x) \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} dx \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{f(x_i)}{p(x_i)},$$

where the x_i are sampled according to $p(x)$. In order to sample according to $p(x)$, one can implement any of the techniques introduced earlier (e.g. the rejection, inverse-transform, combination analytic-rejection or Metropolis methods). The advantage of importance sampling is that the uncertainty in the integral estimate depends on the variance of $f(x)/p(x)$, which will be less than $(b-a) \text{var}(f(x))$ if $p(x)$ is suitably chosen.

Questions:

1. Consider the integral,

$$\int_0^5 e^{-x^2} dx.$$

This integral cannot be performed analytically and since the integrand shows large variation over the integration region, the sample mean estimate is expected to have a large uncertainty.

- (a) Use the sample mean approach, as implemented in the associated EJS simulation, to evaluate this integral (perform 200 runs each with 100 trials).
 - i. How is the final result that is quoted related to the histogram of run results? Overlay a suitable Gaussian on the histogram to confirm your answer.

¹Monte Carlo techniques outperform traditional methods of integration only in higher dimensions. Our approach here, however, is to use the 1D case to introduce the concepts.

- ii. How is the uncertainty quoted in the final result related to relevant quantities (e.g. N_{trials} , N_{runs} , integration interval size, variances etc.)?
 - iii. If you were able to perform just one run with N_{trials} evaluations, how would you calculate the uncertainty?
- (b) Now investigate the use of the importance sampling approach, as implemented in the associated EJS simulation, to evaluate this integral (again performing 200 runs each with 100 trials).
- i. Identify a suitable probability distribution $p(x)$ and a transformation function $x(y)$ that converts a uniformly sampled y in $[0, 1)$ into an x according to the chosen distribution (the simulation uses the inverse-transform method to sample according to $p(x)$).
 - ii. How is the final result that is quoted related to the histogram of run results? Overlay a suitable Gaussian on the histogram to confirm your answer.
 - iii. How is the uncertainty quoted in the final result related to relevant quantities (e.g. N_{trials} , N_{runs} , integration interval size, variances etc.)?
 - iv. If you were able to perform just one run with N_{trials} evaluations, how would you calculate the uncertainty?
- (c) Compare the results of the two integration techniques.
- i. Are you convinced that the importance sampling technique outperforms the sample mean approach with the same number of trials and runs? Is a larger variance of $f(x)$ compared to that of $f(x)/p(x)$ the reason? Discuss.
 - ii. How many more trials would be required in each sample mean approach run in order for the sample mean approach to yield a more precise result than the importance sampling technique with $N_{\text{trials}} = 100$ and $N_{\text{runs}} = 200$?
2. Use the associated EJS simulation to explore the effectiveness of the sample mean and importance sampling techniques in solving the integral:

$$\int_0^{10} x^2 e^{-x^2} dx.$$